

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/659,081	09/10/2003	Jigish D. Trivedi	MIO 0079 VA 2733		
7590 03/29/2005			EXAMINER		
	& SHOHL LLP	FOURSON III, GEORGE R			
Suite 500 One Dayton Co	entre	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Dayton, OH		2823			
			DATE MAILED: 03/29/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/659,0	31	TRIVEDI ET AL.				
		Examine		Art Unit				
		George F		2823				
 Period for l	The MAILING DATE of this communic Reply	ation appears on the	cover sheet with the co	orrespondence ad	ldress			
THE MA - Extensic after SIX - If the per - If NO per - Failure t Any repl	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO ALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC ons of time may be available under the provisions of (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic of for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) riod for reply is specified above, the maximum status or reply within the set or extended period for reply wy received by the Office later than three months after that term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ev nication. days, a reply within the stat tory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be tim utory minimum of thirty (30) days ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from t lication to become ABANDONEC	ely filed will be considered timel he mailing date of this co (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)□ R	esponsive to communication(s) filed	on						
2a)	his action is FINAL. 28	o)⊠ This action is r	on-final.					
•—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition	n of Claims				•			
4)⊠ C 4a 5)⊠ C 6)⊠ C 7)⊠ C	 4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-40 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ⊠ Claim(s) 2-15,20,27-29 and 31-40 is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,16-19,21-26 and 30 is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 21 is/are objected to. 							
Application	n Papers							
9)[] Th	ne specification is objected to by the	Examiner.						
10)□ Th	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Α	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of 2) Notice of 3) Informa	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P lo(s)/Mail Date 12/68		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	te	O-152)			

Art Unit: 2823

Claim 21 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form.

Claim 21 does not further limit the subject matter of claim 1 because of the subjectivity associated with use of "ultra thin".

Claims 1,21,22,23,24,25 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 1 03(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant Admitted Prior Art (AAPA) in combination with Kizilyalli et al.'807.

AAPA admits to a SRAM device with CMOS structure 4 substantially as claimed to have been known prior to applicant's invention with the exclusion of a diffusion barrier layer formed between a portion of polysilicon layer and metal, metal silicide or metal nitride film (Instant Page 4, Figs. I and 2, and page 8, line 12 to page 9, line 15).

Kizilyalli et al. discloses a semiconductor structure for a memory device such as SRAM which includes semiconductor substrate 16 (Col. 2, line 49, and Fig. 1), P-well 24 and N-well 26 in substrate 16 (Col. 2, lines 49-50), isolation region 28 that isolates active regions formed in respective P-well 24 and N-well 26 (Col. 2, lines 53-57), and polysilicide gate electrode structure composed of polycrystalline silicon film 20 by formation of N+ polysilicon layer 30 located above P-well 24 followed by formation of P+ polysilicon layer 32 located above n-well region 36 (Co1. 2, lines 57-60), diffusion barrier layer 50 formed over a portion of polysilicon film 20 wherein the portion includes N+ polysilicon layer 30 (Col. 2, line 60 to Col. 3, line 41, and Col. 4, lines 11-14) thereby blocking migration of P+ dopants through metal silicide film 60 over path 72 (Col. 4, lines 23-26 and lines 30-33) and blocking migration of N+ dopants through metal

Art Unit: 2823

silicide film 60 and P+ polysilicon layer 32 over path 88 (Col. 4, lines 34-37, and Fig. 5) and metal silicide film 60 formed over polysilicon film 20 (Col. 3, lines 66-67).

It would have been within the scope of one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of the AAPA with Kizilyalli et al. because it would enable formation of diffusion barrier layer 50 of Kizilyalli et al. in AAPA'S CMOS structure 4 and obtain further advantage of minimizing dopant penetration and migration (Kizilyalli et al., Col. I, lines 53-55).

The choice of thickness of the polycrystalline silicon film would have been a matter of routine optimization to achieve the desired device density and the desired device characteristics of the device to be formed. (See MPEP 2 144.05).

The choice of thickness of the diffusion barrier layer and polysilicon layer would have been a matter of routine optimization to achieve the desired device and the desired device characteristics of the device to be formed. (See MPEP 2144.05).

Claims 16,17,18 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over AAPA in combination with Kizilyalli et al. as applied to claims 1,21,22,23,24,25 and 26 above, and further in view of Fujii et al. '010.

The combination does not disclose forming an isolation region as recited.

Fujii et al. discloses a CMOS structure which includes P-type semiconductor substrate 1 (Col. 3, lines 52-53), N-well 2 formed in substrate 1 (Col. 4, lines 4-7), isolation region 3 (Col. 4, lines 7-8), active areas 4 and 5 formed in P-type region of substrate 1 and N-well 2 (Col. 4, lines 9-13, and Fig. 2A), and polysilicide gate electrode structure composed of polysilicon layer 9 formed over gate oxide film 8 (Col. 4, lines 15-17), then first P+doped region 9a is formed in a

Art Unit: 2823

portion polysilicon layer 9 (Co1. 4, lines 1 7-22), subsequently second N+ doped region 9b is formed in a second portion of polysilicon layer 9 (Col. 4, lines 23-28, and Fig. 2B), and metal silicide layer 12 over polysilicon layer 9 (Col. 4, lines 29-31, and Fig. 2C).

In view of the disclosure of Kizilyalli et al. wherein diffusion barrier layer 50 is formed over first doped region 30 in polysilicon film 20 in order to prevent subsequent introduction of a second dopant to form second doped region 32 (Co1. 3, lines 55-65, and Fig. 3), it would have been within the scope to one ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Fujii et al. with the combination because it would enable formation of an isolation region as is disclosed to useful by Fujii et al.

Claim 30 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over AAPA in combination with Kizilyalli et al. and Fujii et al as applied to claims 16-19 above, and further in view of Hunter et al '725.

AAPA admits to a SRAM device with CMOS structure 4 substantially as claimed to have been known prior to applicant's invention with the exclusion of a nitride diffusion barrier layer formed between doped regions of the polysilicon layer and metal, metal silicide or metal nitride film (Instant Figs. 1 and 2, and page 8, line 12 to page 9, line 15).

Hunter et al. discloses a semiconductor structure in semiconductor devices for inhibiting out diffusion of dopants from a first conductive layer such as polycrystalline silicon to a second conductive layer such as silicide conductive material which includes a semiconductor substrate 36 and isolation region 34 (Co1. 3, lines 57-58, and Fig. 2A), N-well 38 and P-well 40 formed in substrate 36 (Col. 3, lines 61-62), polysilicide gate electrode structure composed of polysilicon film 44 comprised of N+ polysilicon layer 45 and P+ polysilicon layer 46 (Col. 4, lines 4-8),

Art Unit: 2823

sputter deposited nitride diffusion barrier layer 48 formed on surface of polysilicon layer 44 (i.e. N+ polysilicon layer 45 and P+ polysilicon layer 46) (Col. 4, lines 12-15, and Fig. 2C) and conductive layer 50 such as metal silicide (Col. 4, lines 57-59, and Fig. 2D).

It would have been within the scope to one ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of AAPA with Hunter et al. because it would enable formation of diffusion barrier layer 48 in AAPA'S CMOS structure 4 and obtain further advantage of inhibiting out diffusion of dopants from polycrystalline silicon into silicide conductive layers (Hunter et al., Col. 2, lines 64-67).

The choice of thickness of the polycrystalline silicon film would have been a matter of routine optimization to achieve the desired device density and the desired device characteristics of the device to be formed. (See MPEP 2144.05).

The choice of thickness of the diffusion barrier layer would have been a matter of routine optimization to achieve the desired device and the desired device characteristics of the device to be formed. (See MPEP 2144.05).

Claims 2-15,20,27-29 and 31-40 are allowed.

Claim 21 is objected to.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to George Fourson whose telephone number is (571)272-1860272-1860. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Olik Chaudhuri, can be reached on (571) 272-1855. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2823

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

George Fourson Primary Examiner Art Unit 2823

GFourson March 22, 2005